Case 7:17-cv-01184-VB Document 1 Filed 02/08/17 Page 1 of 11

United States District Court	
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	12110/
	and the state of t
TREVOR LUCAS,	•
(In the space above enter the full name(s) of the plaintiff(s).)	
•	COMPLAINT
-against-	Pursuant to Bivens v. Six
REDEDAL DUDGIN OF THE	Unknown Federal Narcotics
FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS and	Agents, 403 U.S. 388 (1971)
KETTISHA MANSON-WALKER,	and the Administrative Procedures Act, 5 U.S.C. § 70
	Procedures Act, 5 U.S.C. § 70: Jury Trial: □ Yes ▼ No
	(check one)
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	<u> </u>
	TEB PROPERTY.
(In the space above enter the full name(s) of the defendant(s).	Tryon 1 OFF
cannot fit the names of all of the defendants in the space prov	rided.
please write "see attached" in the space above and attac	th an
additional sheet of paper with the full list of names. The n	ames بب چ
listed in the above caption must be identical to those contain	ames ω \subseteq \subseteq \subseteq
Part I. Addresses should not be included here.)	&
I. Parties in this complaint:	
A. List your name, identification number, and the	he name and address of your current place of
confinement. Do the same for any additional pl	aintiffs named. Attach additional sheets of paper
as necessary.	
	·
Plaintiff Name Trevor Lucas	
ID#07200-090	
Current Institution FCI Otisvil	le
Address P.O. Box 1000	
Otisville, NY 1096	3–1000
	3 1000
B. List all defendants' names, positions, places of en	nployment, and the address where each defendant
may be served. Make sure that the defendant(s)	listed below are identical to those contained in the
above caption. Attach additional sheets of pape	r as necessary.
	. ==
Defendant No. 1 Name Federal Bureau	of Prisons Shield#
Where Currently Employed	(federal agency)
Address 320 lst Street	
Washington, Do	

Case 7:17-cv-01184-VB Document 1 Filed 02/08/17 Page 2 of 11

Defend	iant No. 2	Name Kettisha Manson-Walker Shield #
		Where Currently Employed Case Manager, FCI Otisville
		Address P.O. Box 600
	••	Otisville, NY 10963-0600
٠.		
Defend	lant. No. 3	NameShield #
		Where Currently Employed
		Address
	,	
Defend	lant No. 4	Name Shield #
		Where Currently Employed
		Address
Defend	lant No. 5	NameShield #
		Where Currently Employed
	·	Address
rr.	Statement of	Claim:
You m	of this compla ay wish to incluyour claims. D	ssible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how each of the defendants named in the int is involved in this action, along with the dates and locations of all relevant events, ade further details such as the names of other persons involved in the events giving to not cite any cases or statutes. If you intend to allege a number of related claims, each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach additional sheets of paper as necessary.
A.		institution did the events giving rise to your claim(s) occur? ville - Otisville, New York
В.		the institution did the events giving rise to your claim(s) occur?
	·	
C.	What date a	nd approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim(s) occur?
•		

What happened to you?

Who did what?

Was anyone else involved?

Who cise saw what, happened?

Facts: Plaintiff seeks review of an agency action alleged to D. be arbitrary, capricious, and unreasonable. In addition, Plaintiff alleges constitutional violations. Plaintiff is currently serving a term of imprisonment in the Federal Bureau of Prisons ("BOP") at FCI Otisville. Plaintiff has been confined at said facility since sometime in 2011. Inmates confined in the BOP are afforded limited e-mail access through TRULINCS, unless restricted from using the system. The BOP has adopted Program Statement 4500.11, which among other things details regulations applicable to TRULINCS, including the basis upon which an inmate may be restricted from using the system. The Program Statement provides that an inmate should be restricted only "when absolutely necessary to protect the safety, security, or orderly running of the correctional facility, or the protection of the public or staff." Only the Warden of a facility may impose such a restriction, and that authority may not be delegated by the Warden to any staff member below Associate Warden. Between the time of Plaintiff's arrival at FCI Otisville and July 18, 2016, Plaintiff was permitted to use TRULINCS and regularly did so without any incidents of misconduct. On July 18, 2016, Defendant Walker restricted Plaintiff from using the system any further. Although the written notice provided to Plaintiff indicates the action was taken due to the nature of his offense, Defendant Walker actually took the action in retaliation for [continued on next page]

If you sustained injuries related to the events alleged above, describe them and state what medical treatment, if any, you required and received. As a result of arbitrary and capricious action by Defendant Walker, Plaintiff has been deprived of access to TRULINCS e-mail, which Plaintiff contends constitutes an abuse of discretion by the BOP. In addition, Plaintiff's First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendment rights under the Constitution have been violated, in that the action taken was intended to interfere with lawful speech, was taken contrary to BOP policy, and has not been equally applied to similarly situated inmates within the BOP.

IV. Exhaustion of Administrative Remedies:

The Prison Litigation Reform Act ("PLRA"), 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a), requires that "[n]o action shall be brought with respect to prison conditions under section 1983 of this title, or any other Federal law, by a prisoner confined in any jail, prison, or other correctional facility until such administrative remedies as are available are exhausted." Administrative remedies are also known as grievance procedures.

A.	Did your	claim(s)	arise while	you were	confined	in a	jail,	prison,	ог	other	correctional	facility?
	Yes X										,	

Plaintiff seeking copies of various documents contained in his BOP central file. There was, in fact, no reasonable basis to impose the restriction, given that Plaintiff had been permitted to use the system for the previous five years without any incident of misconduct. In addition, Defendant Walker acted without authority to impose the restriction under BOP policy, since she is neither the Warden of the facility, nor an Associate Warden to whom the Warden has delegated such authority. Defendant Walker's action, as an employee of the BOP, constitutes an adverse agency action subject to review under 5 U.S.C. § 701, et seq. Further, Defendant Walker's action violates Plaintiff's First, Fifth, and Fourteenth Amendment rights under the United States Constitution.

Case 7:17-cv-01184-VB Document 1 Filed 02/08/17 Page 5 of 11

	g rise to your claim(s). I Otisville
	Does the jail, prison or other correctional facility where your claim(s) arose have a grievance procedure
	Yes X No Do Not Know
	Does the grievance procedure at the jail, prison or other correctional facility where your claim(s) are cover some or all of your claim(s)?
	Yes X No Do Not Know
	If YES, which claim(s)?
	Did you file a grievance in the jail, prison, or other correctional facility where your claim(s) arose?
•	Yes X No
	If NO, did you file a grievance about the events described in this complaint at any other jail, prison, other correctional facility?
	Yes No
	If you did file a grievance, about the events described in this complaint, where did you file the grievance? FCI Otisville
	1. Which claim(s) in this complaint did you grieve? TRULINCS e-mail
	restriction improperly imposed.
	restriction improperty imposed.
:	2. What was the result, if any? Denied by Acting Warden D.S. Kulick on September 14, 2016.
	2. What was the result, if any? Denied by Acting Warden D.S. Kulick on September 14, 2016. 3. What steps, if any, did you take to appeal that decision? Describe all efforts to appeal to the steps.
:	2. What was the result, if any? Denied by Acting Warden D.S. Kulick on September 14, 2016. 3. What steps, if any, did you take to appeal that decision? Describe all efforts to appeal the highest level of the grievance process. Appeal to Regional Director denied on October 25, 2016. Appeal to Central Office denied by National Inmate Appeals Administrator on December 6, 2016.
	2. What was the result, if any? Denied by Acting Warden D.S. Kulick on September 14, 2016. 3. What steps, if any, did you take to appeal that decision? Describe all efforts to appeal the highest level of the grievance process. Appeal to Regional Director denied on October 25, 2016. Appeal to Central Office denied by National Inmate Appeals Administrator on December 6, 2016. As a result, all administrative remedies have been
	2. What was the result, if any? Denied by Acting Warden D.S. Kulick on September 14, 2016. 3. What steps, if any, did you take to appeal that decision? Describe all efforts to appeal the highest level of the grievance process. Appeal to Regional Director denied on October 25, 2016. Appeal to Central Office denied by National Inmate Appeals Administrator on December 6, 2016.
	2. What was the result, if any? Denied by Acting Warden D.S. Kulick on September 14, 2016. 3. What steps, if any, did you take to appeal that decision? Describe all efforts to appeal the highest level of the grievance process. Appeal to Regional Director denied on October 25, 2016. Appeal to Central Office denied by National Inmate Appeals Administrator on December 6, 2016. As a result, all administrative remedies have been
	2. What was the result, if any? Denied by Acting Warden D.S. Kulick on September 14, 2016. 3. What steps, if any, did you take to appeal that decision? Describe all efforts to appeal the highest level of the grievance process. Appeal to Regional Director denied on October 25, 2016. Appeal to Central Office denied by National Inmate Appeals Administrator on December 6, 2016. As a result, all administrative remedies have been exhausted.
	2. What was the result, if any? Denied by Acting Warden D.S. Kulick on September 14, 2016. 3. What steps, if any, did you take to appeal that decision? Describe all efforts to appeal the highest level of the grievance process. Appeal to Regional Director denied on October 25, 2016. Appeal to Central Office denied by National Inmate Appeals Administrator on December 6, 2016. As a result, all administrative remedies have been exhausted.
	2. What was the result, if any? Denied by Acting Warden D.S. Kulick on September 14, 2016. 3. What steps, if any, did you take to appeal that decision? Describe all efforts to appeal the highest level of the grievance process. Appeal to Regional Director denied on October 25, 2016. Appeal to Central Office denied by National Inmate Appeals Administrator on December 6, 2016. As a result, all administrative remedies have been exhausted.

-5-

If you did not file a grievance but informed any officials of your claim, state who you informed,

••		
3.	Please set forth any additional information	n that is relevant to the exhaustion of your administra
ote:	You may attach as exhibits to this compadministrative remedies.	plaint any documents related to the exhaustion of yo
	Relief:	
	•	
ate wh	nat you want the Court to do for you (includi	ng the amount of monetary compensation, if any, that y
e seek	ing and the basis for such amount) Diai	nt iff 1
genc	y action taken violates the	ntiff seeks a declaration that the
lain	tiff's constitutional wink	Administrative Procedures Act and
niun	ction directing the n-1	s. Plaintiff further seeks an
Ces	s to Thuring a	Bureau of Prisons to reinstate his
nd a	s to TRULINCS e-mail. Plaint	iff requests an award of costs and
,		- Carlo
	Total of tees incurred.	but no monetary damages are sough
	The state of the s	but no monetary damages are sough
	The state of the s	but no monetary damages are sough
	The state of the s	but no monetary damages are sough
		but no monetary damages are sough
	incurred,	but no monetary damages are sough
		but no monetary damages are sough
		but no monetary damages are sough
		but no monetary damages are sough
		but no monetary damages are sough
,		but no monetary damages are sough
,		but no monetary damages are sough
· Pi	revious lawsuits:	but no monetary damages are sough

On these claims

	В.	If your answer to A is YES, describe each lawsuit by answering questions I through 7 is more than one lawsuit, describe the additional lawsuits on another sheet of paper format.)	below. (If there, using the same
		1. Parties to the previous lawsuit:	·
•		Plaintiff	
		Defendants	•
:		2. Court (if federal court, name the district; if state court, name the county)	•
		3. Docket or Index number	
		4. Name of Judge assigned to your case	
		5. Approximate date of filing lawsuit	
		6. Is the case still pending? Yes No	
		If NO, give the approximate date of disposition	•
•		7. What was the result of the case? (For example: Was the case dismissed? Was in your favor? Was the case appealed?)	s there judgment
			*
On other claims	D.		ugh 7 below (If
,		there is more than one lawsuit, describe the additional lawsuits on another piece of same format.)	paper, using the
		l. Parties to the previous lawsuit:	
		Plaintiff	;
		Defendants	-
		2. Court (if federal court, name the district; if state court, name the county)	
		3. Docket or Index number	
		4. Name of Judge assigned to your case	
		5. Approximate date of filing lawsuit	***************************************
•	,	6. Is the case still pending? Yes No	
		If NO, give the approximate date of disposition	
		7. What was the result of the case? (For example: Was the case dismissed? Wain your favor? Was the case appealed?)	there judgment

I declare under penalty of perjury that the forego	oing is true and correct.
Signed this <u>30</u> day of <u>January</u> , 2017.	
Signature of Plaintin	Trevor Lucas Reg. No. 07200-090
Institution Address	P.O. Box 1000
	Otisville, NY 10963-1000
Note: All plaintiffs named in the caption of the con inmate numbers and addresses.	aplaint must date and sign the complaint and provide their
I declare under penalty of perjury that on this 30 complaint to prison authorities to be mailed to the 1 Southern District of New York.	day of, 2017, I am delivering this Pro Se Office of the United States District Court for the
Signature of Plaintifi	Not Applicable - mailed via third-party with required filing fee included.

Case 7:17-cv-01184-VB Document 1 Filed 02/08/17 Page 9 of 1:

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for use of the Clark of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet.

			DEFENDANTS		
Trevor Luca	s			eau of Priso	N. a
			Kettisha Ma	inson-Walker	us and
	NAME, ADDRESS, AND	TELEPHONE NUMBER	ATTORNEYS (IF KNC	OWN	
Pro Se		•	•		•
CAUSE OF ACTION	A. C. C.				
(DO NOT CITE JURISDICTIO	TE UNDER WHICH YOU ARE	FILING AND WRITE A BRIEF (ERSITY)	STATEMENT	
		NAL STATUTES UNLESS DR	ERSITY)	TATEMENT OF CAUSE)	
las this or a similar ca	se been previously filed in	sDNY at any time? No?			
Vac was the	The state of the s	I SUNY at any time? No?	X Yes? Judge Prev	iously Assigned	
yes, was this case V	ol□ InvoL□ Dismiss	ed. No Yes I If ve	s, give date		
PLACE AN [X] IN ONE				& Case No	D
	DOX ONLY)	NATUR .	E OF SUIT		•
				•	
			A	CTIONS UNDER STATUTES	
	· TOR	TS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	PANUTHER	
NTRACT	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	[] 510 AGRICULTURE	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
110 INSURANCE 120 MARINE	[] 315 AIRPLANE [] 315 AIRPLANE PRODUC	[]362 PERSONAL INJURY	[] 620 OTHER FOOD & DRUG	[]422 APPEAL 28 USC 158	[]400 STATE REAPPORTIONMEN
30 MILLER ACT	LIABILITY [] 320 ASSAULT, LIBEL &	[]365 PERSONAL INJURY	[] 625 DRUG RELATED SEIZURE OF	[]423 WITHDRAWAL 28 USC 157	[1410 ANTITRUST [1430 BANKS & BANKING
40 NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENT	SLANDER []330 FEDERAL	[] 368 ASSESTOS PERSON	PROPERTY		LIMPU COMMERCE
50 RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT &	EMPLOYERS	INJURY PRODUCT LIABILITY	[] 630 LIQUOR LAWS	PROPERTY RIGHTS	[1460 DEPORTATION []470 RACKETEER INFLU-
ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT	LIABILITY [] 340 MARINE		[640 RR & TRUCK [650 AIRLINE REGS	[] 820 COPYRIGHTS	ENCED & CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT
51 MEDICARE ACT	[] 345 MARINE PRODUCT	PERSONAL PROPERTY	LIEGO OCCUPATIONAL	[]830 PATENT []840 TRADEMARK	464 2 11
52 RECOVERY OF DEFAULTED	[] 350 MOTOR VEHICLE	[] 370 OTHER FRAUD [] 371 TRUTH IN LENDING	SAFETY/HEALTH	# 117	[] 480 CONSUMER CREDIT [] 490 CABLE/SATELLITET
STUDENT LOANS	[] 355 MOTOR VEHICLE PRODUCT LIABILITY	L 1380 OTHER PERSONAL		SOCIAL SECURITY	[]810 SELECTIVE SERVICE []850 SECURITIES!
(EXCL VETERANS) 33 RECOVERY OF	[] 360 OTHER PERSONAL INJURY	PROPERTY DAMAGE	LABOR	[] 851 HIA (1395If)	COMMODITIES/
OVERPAYMENT OF VETERAN'S BENEFITS		PRODUCT LIABILITY	[]710 FAIR LABOR	[] 852 BLACK LUNG (923) [] 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(9))	EXCHANGE [1875 CUSTOMER
50 STOCKHOLDERS SUITS 50 OTHER CONTRACT	•	•	STANDARDS ACT	I 1004 SSIB TITE E YΩ	CHALLENGE 12 USC 3410
CONTRACT PRODUCT		•	RELATIONS []730 LABOR/MGMT	[] 865 RSI (40S(g))	[1890 OTHER STATUTORY ACTIONS
LIABILITY 6 FRANCHISE		,	REPORTING &	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	[] 891 AGRICUIT TURAL ACTU
•	ACTIONS UNDER STATUTES	•	DISCLOSURE ACT	-	I LOSE ECONOMIC
PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	DDIEGNIES	[]790 OTHER LABOR	Defendent	1 1093 ENVIRONMENTAL
	[]441 VOTING	PRISONER PETITIONS	[]791 EMPLRETING	[] 871 IRS-THIRD PARTY 26 USC 7609	B94 ENERGY
	1 442 EMPLOYMENT	[] 510 MOTIONS TO VACATE SENTENCE	SECURITY ACT		ALLOCATION ACT
RENT LEASE &	[] 443 HOUSING/ ACCOMMODATIONS	20 USC 99cc	IMMIGRATION		INFORMATION ACT
	1 144 VELLARE	[] 530 HABEAS CORPUS [] 535 DEATH PENALTY	[]462 NATURALIZATION		DETERMINATION
TORT PRODUCT	DISABILITIES -	KX560 CIVII RICHTE	APPLICATION [] 463 HABEAS CORPUS-		UNDER EQUAL ACCES TO JUSTICE
	[] 446 AMERICANS WITH	f 1 FFF promoved	[] 465 OTHER IMMIGRATION		[] 950 CONSTITUTIONAUTY OF STATE STATUTES
	DISABILITIES -OTHER 1440 OTHER CIVIL RIGHTS	•	ACTIONS		• 1110120
	The state of the s		•		
	•				
Charle if it is		¥	0	MILED -0 111	
Check if demanded in			3: 23	2017 FEB -8 PM	
CHECK IF THIS IS A	CLASS ACTION	D. D. L.	عاد میں۔	SUMT DUCKE	•
UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	= 10110N	DO YOU CLAIM	HIS CASE IS RELATED	TO'A CHAW LOWE NOW!	OCHONIO
ND \$		IF SU, STATE:		OBVIDE CELVE	-ENDING IN S.D.N.Y.?
	OTHER	JUDGE			
YES only if demande DEMAND: YES	d <u>in</u> complaint	*************************************		DOCKET NUMB	ER <u>i</u>

Case 7:17-cv-01184-VB Document 1 Filed 02/08/17 Page 10 of 11 -----

(PLACE AN	X IN ONE B	2b.Ren Stat et is part)	Whhenere C	our(ORIGII 4 Reinstates Reopened	or	□ s	(Specify	red from District)		Mullidisiric Liligation	. 🗆	មិលជីក្នុង	trate Judo
☐ 1 U.S. PL		☐2 U.	S. DEFEN		LU3 F⊟ (U.)	DERAL C S. NOT A	OF JURIS QUESTION (PARTY)	, Г]4 0	IVERSI1			01112	VERSIT ZENSHIF ISC 132;	7 RF1 /	SIA!
(Place	an [X] in one	Ci box for	Plaintiff a	HIP O	F PRINC	IPAL P	ARTIES	(FOR	DIV	ERSIT	Y CASI	ES OI	ILY) .	132,	c, 1447	<u>'</u>
CITIZEN OF THE	•	PTF	DEF []1	CITIZE	N OR SUR	enuality		PTF	DEF							·
CITIZEN OF ANC	THER STATE	₹ []2	[] 2	INCOR	IGN COUNT PORATED <u>t</u> JSINESS IN	RY		[]4		-•	ORPORA BUSINES LEIGN NA	o M AN	(PRINCIP) OTHER ST	AL PLACE ATE	PTF []5	DEF []5
PLAINTIFF(S) ADDRES	S(ES)	AND COL					·	51						[]6	[1e
		, .			=o <i>)</i>			. •								
			•				•							,		
			٠		•		-		•							
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·													_
EFENDANT(SIADDDE	COZEGN			······································											
EFENDANT(S) ADDRE	SS(ES)	AND CO	- TUNTY	(IES)							······································				
EFENDANT(:	S) ADDRES	SS(ES)	AND CO	ŬNTY:	(IES)		/		~	- - -						
EFENDANT(:	S) ADDRES	SS(ES)	AND CO	· ŪNTY	(IES)					- •	The state of the s					-
EFENDANT(:	S) ADDRES	SS(ES) 	AND CO	ŬNTY	(IES)									-		
				TYNTY	(IES)				~							
FENDANT(S FENDANT(S REPRESENT) SIDENCE ADD	ADDOC					, i Have	E BEEN UN	ABLE,	Wijt			DILIGI	ENCE, TO) ASCERT	FAIN TI	łE
FFNDANTYS	ADDOC					, I HAVE	E BEEN UN	ABLE.	wıŭ+			DILIGI	ENCE, TO) ASCERT	TAIN TI	†E
FENDANT(S REPRESENT/ SIDENCE ADD	ADDOC			AT, AT		, i Have	E BEEN UN	ABLE,	WIŢŧ			DILIGI	ENCE, TO) ASCERT	FAIN TI	÷Ε
FENDANT(S REPRESENT/ SIDENCE ADD SIDENCE ADD SIDENCE THI (DO	ADDRESSATION IS HE PRESSES OF	S UNKI EREBY I F THE F	NOWN MADE THE FOLLOWIN ULD BE	AT, AT NG DEF	THIS TIME ENDANTS GNED TO		BEEN UN			f REASC	DNABLE		ENCE, TO		FAIN TI	†E
FENDANT(S REPRESENTA SIDENCE ADD SIDENCE THE (DO	ADDRESSATION IS HE PRESSES OF	S UNKI EREBY I F THE F	NOWN MADE TH	AT, AT NG DEF	THIS TIME ENDANTS GNED TO		•	TE F	PLA	i REASC	ONABLE	MAN	HATT	AN	FAIN TI	Τ̈́E
FENDANT(S REPRESENTA SIDENCE ADD k one: THI (DO	S ACTION SIGNATURES	S UNKI FREBY I F THE F	NOWN MADE THA FOLLOWIN	AT, AT NG DEF	THIS TIME ENDANTS GNED TO NER PETT	D: [Flon.)	□ WHI	TE F	PLA	INS	PRACTI	MAN	HATT,	AN		
FENDANT(S REPRESENTA SIDENCE ADD Ck one: THE (DO THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE TH	S ACTION NOT check	S UNKI FREBY I F THE F	NOWN MADE THA FOLLOWIN	AT, AT NG DEF	THIS TIME ENDANTS GNED TO NER PETT	D: [Flon.)	□ WHI	TE F	PLA	INS	PRACTI	MAN	HATT	AN		
FENDANT(S REPRESENTA SIDENCE ADD k one: THI (DO	S ACTION NOT check	S UNKI FREBY I F THE F	NOWN MADE THA FOLLOWIN ULD BE ax if this a ATTORNE	ASSI- PRISO	THIS TIME ENDANTS GNED TO NER PETT RECORD ork of the	D: FION.) Court.	□ WHI	TE F	PLA DMIT I NO I YE	INS TED TO Pro S (DATE	PRACTI Se ADMITTIGE #	MAN ICE IN NON- ICED M	HATTA	AN		

January 30, 2017

Clerk of U.S. District Court Attn: Pro Se Office, Room 230 500 Pearl Street New York, NY 10007

Dear Sir/Madam:

Enclosed please find the following documents which are submitted for the purpose of commencing a new civil action:

- 1. Civil Cover Sheet; and
- Complaint.

Also enclosed please find payment in the amount of \$400.00 for the required filing fee.

Thank you for your assistance with this matter.

Very truly yours,

Trevor Lucas

Reg. No. 07200-090

FCI Otisville

P.O. Box 1000

Otisville, NY 10963-1000

enclosures

SOIJEEB-8 LW 3: 53 PONA DOCKET UNIT RECEIVED